The High-level Political Forum on Sustainable Development: Fostering Transformative Partnerships?

Dr. Marianne Beisheim, Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik (SWP) German Institute for International and Security Affairs, Berlin

Felicitas Fritzsche (MA), University of Potsdam & SWP



Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik German Institute for International and Security Affairs













































The HLPF:

Fostering Transformative Partnerships by means of orchestration?

Partnering with(in) the UN: a challenge?

ACUNS Annual Meeting - UN@75: The future of partnership and multilateralism June 27th 2020, 14:10-15:10 (UTC+1)

Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik
Deutsches Institut für
Internationale Politik und Sicherheit



1) The HLPF's mandate

Building on the CSD and the Rio+20 outcome document >>

UNGA 2013, A/RES/67/290:

- Provide political leadership, guidance and recommendations
- Follow up and review progress on the 2030 Agenda and the SDGs
- Provide a platform for partnerships







2) Research findings on partnerships

- Effectiveness and legitimacy of partnerships vary and are controversial
- Situation before 2015: many did not deliver or only improved over time (see Pattberg et al. 2012; Beisheim & Liese 2014)
- After 2030 Agenda: Set-up meta-governance to enable and foster partnerships & ensure their effectiveness?
- No consensus among actors on necessity, function and form of UN meta-governance & existing one (Partnership Exchange, Partnerships for SDG platform, learning tools) has been rather weak, fragmented and contested (see Beisheim & Simon 2015, 2018)



HIGH-LEVEL POLITICAL FORUM ON SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT



3) The HLPF: orchestrator of partnerships?

- At the time of its creation scholars suggested that the HLPF must orchestrate "by default and design" (see Bernstein 2013; Abbott & Bernstein 2015)
- Orchestration is a soft and indirect governance mode, where one actor, the orchestrator, enlists the voluntary assistance of a second actor, the intermediary, to govern a third actor, the target, in line with the orchestrator's goals (see Abbott et al 2015, p. 349)
- Case selection: SDG Reviews & SDG 16 Review
- Combined with evaluation studies (see Hansen & Vedung 2010; as applied by Widerberg 2017)

4) Who orchestrates how and what? **PREPARATORY ACTIVITIES & ACTIVITIES OUTPUTS INPUTS Organize preparatory** Interest and new discourses on SDGs meeting (SDG 16 conference) generated **ECOSOC** President convenes & Burea **UN-system UN** member endorses **SDG 16 Review** states **UNDESA** sets the agenda stakeholders panel UN stakeholders member coordinates states New initiatives and Gather inputs and publish commitments facilitated reports **UNSG Progress Report** functional constraints **SDG Progress Report** low degree of goal convergence Synthesis reports competing percepetions relatively strong implicit ex ante **Background notes** oversight

Situation Theory Causal Theory Normative Theory

Intermediaries

Orchestrator

Targets

5) Results and Implications

Preliminary Results:

- HLPF is constrained by institutional and functional limiting factors when trying to orchestrate partnerships
- The role of the HLPF as an orchestrator for partnerships is ambiguous and contested

Implications for HLPF Review:

- Link between reviews conducted at HLPF and partnerships should be strengthened (> mandate)
- One option: ECOSOC Integration
 Segment/Partnership Forum



Thank you!

For SWP publications on sustainable development governance: https://www.swp-berlin.org/en/dossiers/sustainability-climateand-energy/

For on-going project work on the HLPF Review:

forum-on-sustainable-development-hlpf/

Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik German Institute for

International and Security Affairs

Ludwigkirchplatz 3-4, 10719 Berlin

www.swp-berlin.org

