

SWP Comment

NO. 20 MAY 2020

Covid-19 in the Horn of Africa

Gaining Trust in a Crisis

Annette Weber

On reaching the Horn of Africa, the corona virus will have encountered countries already facing a multitude of challenges. Prolonged armed conflict, drought and insecurity have turned more than eight million people into refugees in their own countries, and a further 3.5 million have fled to neighbouring countries where they live in overcrowded refugee camps. All the countries in this region are in a fragile state of political transformation or have been severely weakened by war and government failures. They possess neither the capacity to contain the Covid pandemic nor to mitigate the resulting unemployment, poverty and hunger. In order to guard against jeopardising the process of democratisation in Sudan and Ethiopia, special emphasis should be placed on social security systems and gaining the trust of the population. This requires an emergency aid package from abroad that will ensure the economic survival of all countries in the region. However, long-term support should be conditional on guaranteeing that most of the investment goes into developing state capacities for critical infrastructure and social security.


The legitimacy of the government and the degree of trust the population has in its leadership are key to effectively combating Covid-19 and coping with its consequential losses. These factors will determine whether a country can enforce life-saving measures or whether social unrest breaks out.

How a country is governed now will shape the relationship between the state and the population in the aftermath of the pandemic. Although there is currently strong support for incumbent governments, approval may start to wane if there is an upsurge in infection rates, starvation or riots.

Meanwhile, the danger of authoritarian regimes becoming entrenched increases

the longer the executive retains supreme power. For example, the government in Somalia is preventing journalists from being critical in their reporting. The government in Ethiopia is blocking Internet communication in certain parts of the country. Fears are also growing that Prime Minister Abiy Ahmed will impose a state of emergency to further postpone elections, dissolve parliament and appoint a caretaker government. This would damage the government's legitimacy and make reconciliation more difficult in this politically and ethnically fragmented country.

Drastic measures to prevent the virus from spreading have been taken by all the



countries in this region. There is a very real risk that food shortages, starvation, looting and social unrest will lead to state failure and armed conflicts. The longer the restrictions apply and the more people governments are unable to feed, the more unstable the region will become.

Current Situation in the Horn

Many countries in the Horn of Africa are still in the early stages of the pandemic. Although the number of cases is low, so is the level of testing, making it difficult to draw any accurate conclusions.

Sudan's response to the outbreak was rapid. The government closed its borders before a single case of Covid had been identified in the country. Night-time curfews apply throughout Sudan and a comprehensive lockdown was imposed in the capital, Khartoum. The Sudanese prime minister is still riding on a wave of support from the population. The experience of the country's recent overthrow and renewal has largely united the Sudanese people. Nevertheless, the government's position is built on sand, as it cannot fully rely on its institutions which are still mainly staffed by members of the old regime.

Acts of sabotage and disruption by representatives of the old regime are increasing. For example, General Hamad, the governor of the capital, refused to enforce a ban on assembly for mosques in Khartoum. Islamists from the ranks of the former Bashir regime are holding demonstrations against the Prime Minister's government and calling for the military to take power. Attacks on state institutions in protest at restrictions on free movement and government curfews have been reported in the north, and could increase nationwide. The balance of power between the military and civilians in the transitional government still remains finely poised. Moreover, the armed opposition has also responded positively to the United Nations Secretary-General's appeal to declare a temporary ceasefire as a result of the crisis.

The situation in neighbouring **Ethiopia** is somewhat different. Up until March, Ethiopian Airlines continued to fly to China daily. The government was late in appealing to the population to stay at home. In contrast, Ethiopian manufacturing businesses reacted quickly. Textile factories switched production to protective face masks. Prime Minister Abiy Ahmed is playing the role of a regional crisis coordinator. Ethiopian Airlines are flying aid deliveries to the continent via Addis Ababa. Abiy Ahmed has also successfully presented himself in the media as a representative of the continent, mobilising the necessary aid investments through op-eds and letters. In the country itself, however, confidence in the government is waning, at least in some quarters. Rumours of dictatorial measures and pending arrests of political opponents are rife, especially on the Internet.

The situation is most desperate in Somalia and Southern Sudan. Acute conflicts in both these Horn countries have caused mass displacement and there is little hope of political rapprochement or a ceasefire, like the one currently in place in Sudan. Despite the peace treaty, the population's trust in its former opponents in **South Sudan** is fragile. Violent clashes between enemy groups continue to take place. More than half of the population is dependent on food aid and a functioning health system has not yet been set up. More than 2.2 of the 12 million inhabitants mainly live in neighbouring countries as refugees and around 1.5 million are displaced in their own country. Humanitarian aid organisations are overseeing the provision of supplies, partly under the protection of the UN peacekeeping mission UNMISS. Since the government has, yet again, outsourced the provision of basic supplies for its population to international aid organisations, it is not expected to take on management tasks in this crisis. The government in Juba is unlikely to use the crisis to build confidence and its legitimacy is therefore likely to dwindle further.

Of all the countries in the region, **Somalia** faces the greatest challenges. A third of all

15 million Somalis depend on food aid, 2.6 million have become internally displaced due to war or drought and just under one million live as refugees in neighbouring countries. The central government in Mogadishu is in political conflict with some of its federal states. These conflicts are exacerbated by hostile camps in the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC). Qatar and Turkey support the central government in Mogadishu, while the United Arab Emirates and Saudi Arabia are providing financial support to the states. This situation is only widening the gap between the country's government and its states. As a result, capacity-building is impossible and measures cannot be implemented. Meanwhile, the jihadist al-Shabaab is arming itself and carrying out more attacks against Somali security organs and the African Union (AU) mission.

Complicating factors in the Horn of Africa region include the consistently disastrous healthcare and the poor health of its inhabitants who often suffer from malnutrition and tuberculosis. Another enormous challenge is the high population density in the urban areas and the refugee camps. The large number of day-labourers and workers in the informal sector with no savings is also a major problem. Because people with underlying health issues are particularly at risk from Covid-19, and especially those over 60, therefore the youth factor might yet have a positive effect in the Horn of Africa. The average age in the region is less than 20 years.

If the state is unable to provide a certain level of social security, it will be difficult to convince the population that it has to accept work bans, restrictions on free movement and curfews because of Covid-19.

Structural and actor-related factors

How the policies of the actors in the Horn of Africa will change as a result of the Covid-19 crisis depends on various factors: the legitimacy of the government, corresponding economic development and, last

but not least, the role of external actors during the crisis.

Legitimacy, leadership and acceptance

State capacities, such as administrative capacity and the ability to maintain critical infrastructure, will be critical in dealing with the virus and the economic and social ramifications of the pandemic.

In its urban centres, the **Sudanese leadership** can rely on the sense of unity resulting from the successful revolution against the Bashir regime. This gives it an advantage over those countries in which ethnic, social or religious differences divide society. There is still a fine balance between the civil and military forces in the government, which compels them to act jointly. If the government does take on coordination tasks and starts supplying the population with necessary provisions, this will help stabilise the country.

If **Ethiopian** Prime Minister Abiy succeeds in uniting the population with good crisis management, his *Medemer* project (Amharic for synergy or coming together) could bring about social stabilisation even in a period with economic problems. In Ethiopia, parliamentary elections, originally scheduled for May 2020 and then for August 2020, have been postponed indefinitely due to Covid-19. What would have caused criticism and violent reactions from the opposition a few weeks ago, now enjoys almost unanimous support.

If the prime minister does manage to navigate Ethiopia through the crisis in a relatively stable manner, his coalition of parties will most likely secure victory in the upcoming elections. This is an opportunity that could quickly go begging if he employs repressive politics, like blocking the Internet and telecommunications in the state of Oromia. In order to maintain the legitimacy of his government, he must ensure transparent communication and include both the opposition and the states. However, if Prime Minister Abiy Ahmed is unable to convince the populace of the need for the

restrictions and if he is unable to involve the local level in combating the virus, Corona could fast become a catalyst for growing conflicts that would break out along ethnic and religious lines.

Covid-19 presents the **Somali** government with existential challenges. Even before the pandemic, approval of President Mohamed Abdullahi Famajo's government was not overwhelming. The country has almost no functioning critical infrastructure, a third of the population is dependent on food supplies, and furthermore, there is an open conflict with al-Shabaab. In addition, the lockdown in place for troops from the African Union Mission (AMISOM), is weakening the response to al-Shabaab. Apart from disputes between the central government and the states, its repressive approach to the media and journalists has made the task of building trust more difficult.

The new government in **South Sudan** was not officially sworn in until 22 February 2020, right at the very beginning of the pandemic. Since it gained independence from Sudan in 2011, the county has been governed by rivals, President Salva Kiir and Vice President Riek Machar. The government alliance failed twice because of wrangling between the two camps. The result was bloody civil wars that left South Sudan in extreme poverty, with ethnic disparity and no critical infrastructure for health, education and transport.

Healthcare

All the countries in the Horn of Africa are suffering from a serious shortage of medical care and equipment.

With 557 ventilators and over 570 intensive care beds, Ethiopia is well ahead of all the other countries in the region. Sudan has 80 ventilators and 200 intensive care beds, but only 40 of them are in public hospitals. Somalia has 25 intensive care beds, but not a single ventilator, and South Sudan has 24 beds and 4 ventilators.

Although Ethiopia has invested more in health and education in recent years, the country only has 0.1 doctors per 1,000 in-

habitants, in Somalia this figure is even worse at 0.023.

Healthcare in Eritrea is similar to that of Ethiopia. However, the country has persisted with its isolationist position, despite the Corona crisis. Not even the aircraft with aid supplies from Chinese billionaire Jack Ma, which were to be distributed across Ethiopia in the Horn of Africa, received a landing permit in Eritrea.

In Sudan and South Sudan, the discrepancy between official spending on the healthcare sector and shortages on the ground is particularly striking. According to the World Health Organization, Sudan's budget, at 6.34 percent of GDP, is not far short of the average for member states of the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE); in Southern Sudan, as much as 9.76 percent of GDP is allocated to healthcare. In fact, there are 0.43 doctors for every 1,000 inhabitants in Sudan, and 0.15 in South Sudan. For comparison, the density of doctors in member states of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) is an average of 2.89 per 1,000 inhabitants. This seems to confirm the suspicion that the healthcare system is particularly susceptible to corruption.

The gap between the numerically small elite and the majority of the population is also clearly evident in healthcare. While there is little investment in the domestic, public health sector, the elite mainly go abroad to receive treatment, and the travel restrictions put in place due to Covid-19 and the global overload of health systems are currently making it difficult for them to travel abroad. That is when the need to invest in your own country becomes more obvious.

For transition governments, in particular, this situation also represents an opportunity to combat corruption in the healthcare system. Strategic investments in social systems that are supported by the population and controlled locally could now set an important course for the future.

However, if governments are unwilling to take responsibility for public healthcare, this could lead to widespread opposition to,

or even attacks on, wards where Covid-19 patients are being treated. As with Ebola, there would also be a risk of corona testing stations being attacked, as they are considered places which spread the virus.

Factors that serve old, colonial patterns make things worse: the lack of solidarity in Europe, the racist treatment of Africans in China and disturbing statements by French doctors that Africa would make a good testing ground for Covid vaccines. The anonymous racist abuse on the Internet and verbal attacks by Donald Trump on Tedros Ghebreyesus, the former Foreign Minister of Ethiopia and now Director General of the World Health Organization, are also seen on the continent as humiliating. In Africa, xenophobic reactions are felt by the Chinese, Europeans and humanitarian aid workers who are being blamed for introducing the virus. This, in turn, affects the supply of healthcare. People might even refuse to receive humanitarian aid by international organisations as a result of the behaviour described above and for other reasons: the spread of conspiracy theories, shutting down journalists, the lack of trust in state media, non-transparent communication by the authorities and the repressive enforcement of curfews.

Food security

The Horn of Africa is persistently afflicted by severe droughts, floods and famine; an infestation of locusts is currently swarming the region and fields cannot be cultivated because of armed conflicts. Large swathes of the population depend on food aid. Ethiopia and Sudan have grain reserves, but these are not sufficient to feed the affected population for a long period. In both countries, less than ten percent of the population is still dependent on humanitarian aid. However, one third of the population of Somalia and 60 percent of South Sudan rely on external aid. Food prices are expected to rise as logistics centres, ports and transportation will all be affected by Covid-19 restrictions. As a result, pinch points and shortfalls in aid deliveries can be expected.

This will require coordinating the UN organisations. It will be important for countries in the region to develop a functioning supply infrastructure and to build up stocks.

Economy

Lockdowns and border closures will mean that economies that are already weak will face more overwhelming challenges and will slip into recession.

Although a large portion of the population works in agriculture, on average, half of gross national product (GNP) is generated in cities. If food prices are to rise as a result of shortages, this will initially affect the urban population hardest, since they already lack the income they need to survive the lockdown.

Regional trade in goods, although small, has also been restricted by the Covid measures. When Somalia closed its border, trade in the common stimulant Qat collapsed, and Kenyan and Ethiopian cultivators were left without any income.

A downturn in the global economy appears inevitable. The fall in the price of oil could have positive effects, for example by lowering fuel prices. However, since major lenders are located in the Gulf States, an economic downturn in these countries will also have a negative impact on the Horn of Africa.

Many people in the Horn have some social security in the form of money transfers from family members working abroad. Since unemployment is increasing worldwide, social security, healthcare, tuition fees and food security can no longer be financed by remittances from abroad. The Gulf States will be particularly relevant for migrant workers from the region. After the 2008 financial crisis and the Saudi Vision 2030 project, which aims to supply the labour market in Saudi Arabia with local workers, Saudi Arabia sent home more than 300,000 people who were working in the low-wage sector. Even now, thousands are being forced to return home to the Horn from the Gulf States every week.

Governments that have so far paid little attention to the social security of their populations now have an increasing obligation.

Covid-19 aid packages

The EU, international financial institutions and the G20 have taken measures to cushion the most severe economic declines. The G20 countries want to introduce debt rescheduling for the least developed countries. This will apply to \$20 billion of debt and come into force from May. And it could apply to all the countries in the Horn. However, none of these countries was named in relation to the debt moratorium announced by the International Monetary Fund (IMF).

Of the €3.25 billion the EU is making available to African countries to deal with the Covid-19 crisis, for the Horn of Africa, the majority will go to Sudan (€80 million), €10 million will go to Ethiopia, €27 Million to Somalia and €5 million to South Sudan. Ethiopia also received €76.5 million from the World Bank and South Sudan €7 million. Somalia, in particular, has benefitted from the debt relief programme.

Access to the international financial institutions is particularly difficult for Sudan. Thanks to its support for jihadist groups such as al-Qaida and its involvement in attacks against the US embassies in Nairobi and Dar es Salaam, the US placed the Bashir regime on its list of State Sponsors of Terrorism (SST) in 1993. Sudan is currently in negotiations with the US administration to be removed from the list. This would pave the way for debt relief and urgently needed financial support. But the negotiations are proving tough and complex. With the current pandemic still rampant, it is all the more important to find alternative ways of providing support to stabilise the country.

Conflicts

The Horn of Africa is the most conflict-ridden region on the continent. At the same time, it hosts the most and largest peace missions, both from the United Nations and the African Union.

The situation in socially, ethnically or religiously fragmented societies is currently very worrying. Conspiracy theories abound

on social media and the virus is being used as an opportunity to encourage the use of violence against the supposed guilty parties.

Since the onset of the Corona crisis, two different reactions have been observed in countries with armed opposition groups. In Sudan, some of the armed opposition groups are conducting peace negotiations with the interim government and a political solution has become possible. Even those groups that are not currently involved in negotiations are following the UN Secretary-General's call for a temporary ceasefire.

The response from jihadist groups such as al-Shabaab has been quite different, however. They are highlighting the weaknesses of the government and the AU Mission with increased attacks on security organs. In March, members of al-Shabaab brazenly met up for a multi-day strategy conference in Somalia. Al-Shabaab is able to use as political leverage humanitarian and medical aid for the people suffering in the areas it controls.

Recommendations

The response to Covid-19 from all internal and external actors involved in the Horn of Africa should not be to rely on more isolationism, but on more networked thinking and action. Alongside short-term humanitarian aid from outside, long-term investment in social security systems is also required.

As can be seen in the Horn of Africa, individual philanthropists such as Jack Ma or Bill Gates are providing more visible support than state actors. However, in order to meet the challenges, the work that continues to be done by multilateral organisations financed and controlled by the member states of the United Nations is essential.

What actors in the countries themselves should do

The governments of Horn countries are faced with the challenge of how to best

achieve two main goals: regime stability and protecting the population. If they now focus only on keeping themselves in power and neglect the population, the crisis could end in chaos. By contrast, investing in their healthcare systems and communicating openly with the population would increase acceptance for the measures they put in place and strengthen the legitimacy of the government. Actively combatting corruption, especially in the health sector, should also be seen as an opportunity to gain the population's trust. In order to do this, they will need to introduce anti-corruption measures, to develop structures and involve the populace in transparently determining the country's needs.

Overcoming the Corona pandemic will require solidarity. If this is lacking, for example because the population mistrusts the state power, then the virus quickly becomes ammunition for those who want to exploit it for selfish purposes and promote fragmentation.

Widening social safety nets will give the governments greater legitimacy and increases the population's trust in them. The latter is essential for governments to impose and effectively implement measures and restrictions. This is the only way to mobilise communities to ensure that infections are contained in the long-term. As Ebola has shown, it is the local associations, village and religious communities, families and clans that can effectively contribute to the containment of the virus and provide social support and care. It is, therefore, all the more important that representatives of religious communities, village leaders, women and youth associations as well as neighbourhood committees are involved and provided with funds. Even if it were desirable to involve the police and military in logistics and supply, this step must be considered carefully. Each case must be examined individually to determine the extent to which the security authorities are regarded as repressive organs that are enforcing the will of the authoritarian elites, or whether they have earned the trust of the population.

Germany and Europe

There are several challenges for Germany and Europe in dealing with Covid-19 in the Horn of Africa, but there are also opportunities.

In recent years, Germany has proven to be a reliable partner for those Horn countries in transition. It should, therefore, now move forward and not shy away from taken on the role of aid coordinator within the EU. This is especially true in Sudan, whose situation as a transition state is fragile, but has enormous geostrategic importance. Hosting a Sudan conference that would put together a package of funds from Europe, the US, the Gulf States and also from international financial organisations would be a step in the right direction.

Options need to be developed for Sudan that give it to access the IMF's aid fund despite being on the SST list. Europe could also assist Sudan in negotiations to access funds from international development organisations and the World Bank. In addition, Germany and Europe could lobby the Paris Club for debt relief. The bulk of Sudan's foreign debt – ranging from \$50 to \$60 billion – is owed to members states of the Paris Club.

Debt relief is an important measure that can be swiftly implemented to give African states greater financial leeway in the Covid-19 crisis.

However, investments should not be made without accompanying control mechanisms. Authoritarian and repressive governments should not be allowed to use Covid-19 aid measures to help them maintain power. It is vital that these investments are tied to expanding social infrastructure and democratic controls.

The United Nations

The United Nations should play a proactive coordinating role in this acute crisis. It has a wealth of experience in coping with crises, ranging from containing epidemics to managing refugee camps and peace missions. Since the US government has stopped sup-

porting the World Health Organization, it is imperative that Germany and Europe step up their efforts to provide multilateral solutions and increase their funding.

AU and IGAD

The task force put together by the African Union AU Agency for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) could become a permanent institution once this crisis abates and set itself the task of improving national security systems and advancing interconnectedness throughout the continent. The Covid-19 pandemic could become a decisive turning point for the regional Intergovernmental Authority on Development (IGAD). The focus of its predecessor, IGADD, founded in 1986, was on regional development and drought control. Concentrating on these tasks would be forward-looking and preventative, in the event of further pandemics and climate-related crises in the Horn of Africa.

© Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik, 2020
All rights reserved

This Comment reflects the author's views.

The online version of this publication contains functioning links to other SWP texts and other relevant sources.

SWP Comments are subject to internal peer review, fact-checking and copy-editing. For further information on our quality control procedures, please visit the SWP website: <https://www.swp-berlin.org/en/about-swp/quality-management-for-swp-publications/>

SWP
Stiftung Wissenschaft und Politik
German Institute for International and Security Affairs

Ludwigkirchplatz 3 – 4
10719 Berlin
Telephone +49 30 880 07-0
Fax +49 30 880 07-100
www.swp-berlin.org
swp@swp-berlin.org

ISSN 1861-1761
doi: 10.18449/2020C20

Translation by Martin Haynes

(English version of
SWP-Aktuell 30/2020)

Dr. Annette Weber is a Senior Fellow in the Middle East and Africa Division at SWP.